

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	р.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,584		03/14/2005	Thorsten Mayer	R.303672	7597
2119	7590	10/26/2005		EXAMINER	
RONALI			NGUYEN, TU MINH		
GREIGG 6 1423 POW		G P.L.L.C. STREET, UNIT ONE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAN			3748		
			·	D. TELVA II ED 10/0//000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S	P
,	v

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Comments	10/527,584	MAYER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tu M. Nguyen	3748					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma	arch 2005.						
• —		action is non-final.						
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 17-36 is/are pending in the application	١.						
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□ C	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
,	laim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ C	laim(s) 17-36 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application	n Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Α	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🗌 Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
•	 Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents 		on No					
	. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
J	application from the International Bureau		A III tillo (tallonal Grago					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		•						
Attachment(s	·)							
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Io(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed on March 14, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 1-16 have been canceled. Claims 17-36 have been added and are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: the species of Figure 2 and the species of Figure 3.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/527,584 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

3. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- Claims 21, 22, and 33 appear to read on the species of Figure 2.
- Claims 23, 24, and 34 appear to read on the species of Figure 3.

The following claim(s) are generic: 17-20, 25-32, 35, and 36.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

- The species of Figure 2 is drawn to a system and a method of exhaust gas cleaning, wherein a reductant nozzle is disposed centrally in an oxidizing catalytic converter.
- The species of Figure 3 is drawn to a system and a method of exhaust gas cleaning, wherein a reductant nozzle is disposed in an outer peripheral region of an oxidizing catalytic converter.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/527,584

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4862.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMN

October 25, 2005

Tu M. Nguyen

Tu M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3748